# **Extracting Behavioral Models From Service Implementations**



Ioana Şora <sup>1,2</sup> and Doru-Thom Popovici <sup>1,2</sup>
<sup>1</sup> Department of Computing, "Politehnica" University of Timisoara, RO

<sup>2</sup> leAT, Timisoara, RO

ioana.sora@cs.upt.ro



# Motivation

### **Proposed approach**

Formal techniques such as model checking and model based testing take as input a model of the system under validation, written in a specific formalism. Usually, such *models are written by hand, based on the system's specifications.* It would be a big step towards extending the use of formal techniques in practice if such *models could be generated with help of tools directly from the implementations of real systems.*  We extract behavioral models from the implementation code of real systems, by applying specific **white box techniques** based on the analysis of their **control flow graph**. The systems to be analyzed are **web applications** and **services**, which can be implemented using many **different technologies**, making code analysis and modeling difficult. We represent the models as **Extended Finite State Machines**.

# From (abstract) Control Flow Graph to Extended Finite State Machine

### Preliminary assumptions:

• The CFG is complete and interprocedural

• There are explicit statements, corresponding to a node in the CFG, for receiving and sending messages of a specified message type and having message parameters.

# Getting the abstract CFG from real service implementations

In practice, web applications and services are developed with the help of special frameworks and APIs. Consequences:
Instead of explicit statements for sending and receiving messages, frameworks offer complex APIs to describe the interactions of the communicating entities.
Most often, by analyzing *only* the application code written by the application developer one cannot obtain the whole CFG of the real system (for example server loops are in frameworks)

#### - - - -

#### <u>Transformation principles, in summary:</u>

•Aspects which are *relevant* for the model are those related (data or control) to sent or received messages

• "Essential" nodes in CFG -> states in EFSM

a path between CFG nodes which contains at least one
 "relevant" node -> a transition in the corresponding EFSM, with path conditions becoming guards of the transition

Example: Abstract CFG (*here represented as pseudocode* !) of a Shop Server, and its EFSM model

1: 0	orders:={}
2: ]	payments:={}
3: 1	while(true)
4:	switch ReceiveMesssage():
5:	case:(orderType, name)
6:	add name to orders
7:	case:(payType, name)
8:	if (name in orders)
9:	add name to payments
10:	case:(deliveryType, name)
11:	if (name in payments)
12	remove name from payments
13:	remove name from orders
14:	SendMessage deliveryResp, goods
15:	else SendMessage deliveryResp, error
16:	endwhile

We implemented **technology specific preprocessing frontends** (until now, for Java RMI, JSP, servlets) which: (1) identify and *abstract the equivalent of send/receive message operations* and (2) *complete the partial CFG extracted from application code to a complete abstract CFG* 

## Conclusion

In order to cope with the diversity of technologies and APIs
which can be used by service implementations, we propose an
approach for model extraction in two steps: a technology
dependent preprocessing step, followed by a core step that
implements a general method of transforming the abstracted
control flow graph into an EFSM.
The kind of inferred EFSM is suitable for automatic translation
into an entity description in a formal security specification
language (such as Aslan++) for distributed systems.



### Acknowledgements

This work has been supported by the FP7-ICT-2009-5 project no. 257876 SPaCIoS ("Secure Provision and Consumption in the Internet of Services")

SPaCI