Computer Programming

Modular compilation. Preprocessor Abstract data types. Exceptions

Marius Minea marius@cs.upt.ro

9 December 2014

Properties of identifiers

Scope of identifiers: where is identifier visible ?
 block scope: from declaration to end of enclosing }
 file scope: if declared outside any block
 also: function prototype scope; function scope (goto labels)
 if redeclared, outer scope hidden while inner scope in effect

Linkage of identifiers: do they refer to the same object ? external: same in all translation units (files) making up program default for functions and file scope identifiers; explicit with extern declaration

internal: same within one translation unit; static keyword *none*: each declaration denotes distinct object (for block scope)

Storage duration of objects (variables)

automatic, for variables declared with block scope lifetime: from block entry to exit; re-initialized every time static: lifetime is program execution; initialized once allocated: with malloc thread: for _Thread_local objects (since C11)

Declarations and definitions

An identifier can be *declared* multiple times, only *defined once*

A declaration with initializer is a definition.

A file scope declaration with no initializer and no storage class specifier or with static is a *tentative definition* several tentative definitions for same object must match become definition by end of translation unit

How to use in practice

functions: define in one file, declare in all others variables: define in one file, declare **extern** in all others

Can put declarations in a *header file*, and include where needed

C preprocessor

Preprocessing is done prior to compilation: cpp or gcc -E :

header file inclusion

```
#include <file.h>
#include "file.h"
```

```
or
```

conditional compilation: e.g. to avoid multiple inclusion

```
#ifndef _MYHEADER_H
#define _MYHEADER_H
// contents of header here
#endif
```

also: #ifdef, #undef name, #else, #elif, #error

can test arbitrary constant (compile-time) expressions

```
#if sizeof(int) == 2
// code only gets compiled if this true
#endif
```

Preprocessor macros

object-like macro #define NAME replacement
function-like macro
#define NAME(arg1,...,argn) replacement
#define MAX(a,b) ((a)>(b)?a:b)
#define NAME(arg1,arg2,...) replacement
 can use VA_ARGS to refer to extra arguments

CAREFUL with macros: put args in parantheses in macro body Don't use with side-effects if arg evaluated twice: MAX(x++,y)

In macro replacements:

arg produces string literal for tokens represented by arg
x ## y produces string concatenation of tokens for x and y

```
#define STR(s) #s
#define STRSUB(s) STR(s)
#define JOIN(x,y) x ## y
#define SFMT(m) STRSUB(JOIN(%m,s))
#define MAX 32
scanf(SFMT(MAX), s); // scanf("%32s", s);
```

Typical library structure

```
function declarations: in mylibrary.h
```

```
#ifndef _MYLIBRARY_H
#define _MYLIBRARY_H
// function declarations (prototpes) go here
#endif
```

library code (function definition) in mylibrary.c
has #include "mylibrary.h" (declaration/definition consistency)
library compiled to object code: gcc -c mylibrary.c
produces mylibrary.o (with symbols for function names)

main file has #include "mylibrary.h" and uses functions compile with gcc program.c mylibrary.o

Abstract datatypes

An abstract datatype is a mathematical model for datastructures defined by the operations applicable to them (*functions*) and the constraints among them (*axioms*) without exposing details about the implementation.

ADTs *separate interface from implementation* the interface provides the *abstraction* the implementation is *encapsulated* (hidden)

ADTs allow changeable and interchangeable implementations client program relies only on interface, is not affected

Lists as abstract data types

An ADT list L with elementtype E is usually defined by:

 $\begin{array}{ll} \textit{nil}:() \rightarrow \textit{L} & \text{empty list constructor} \\ & \text{can also be constant rather than function} \\ \textit{isempty}:\textit{L} \rightarrow \textit{Bool} & \text{is empty ?} \\ \textit{cons}:\textit{E} \times \textit{L} \rightarrow \textit{L} & \text{list constructor} \\ \textit{head}:\textit{L} \rightarrow \textit{E} & \text{head of list} \\ \textit{tail}:\textit{L} \rightarrow \textit{L} & \text{tail of list} \\ \end{array}$

and the *axioms*

head(cons(e, I)) = e and tail(cons(e, I)) = I

Some language have lists as *algebraic* data type: a *sum type* (alternative) between (1) the value for empty list, and (2) a *product type* of an element and a list (constructor *cons*). How to declare an ADT with structures

For structure types, encapsulation is enforced if: header file only contains declaration of pointer type typedef struct mytype *mytype_t;

C file for implementation contains structure definition

```
struct mytype {
   // declare fields here
};
// functions can access structure fields
```

Exported functions only work with pointer type mytype_t ⇒ not knowing structure, user program cannot access fields For example, the FILE datatype enforces such an encapsulation

Why exceptions ?

Error handling is absolutely needed for any environment interaction

- Also needed when proper result can't be returned non-numeric string to number; 5th element of 3-element list
- Error situations can happen anywhere in the "normal" control flow end-of-file, read error, insufficient memory or user-level errors (input does not match format) handling complicates code, obscures the main functionality
- Functions must be designed to return error conditions complicates their interface
- User code has to check for errors *at all points* and propagate recovery up from from deep within processing

Exceptions as a programming language feature

Exceptions are a control flow mechanism different from function call/return, breaking from loops can transfer control across functions

Exceptions are *raised* and *caught* (handled) can be raised by a library function, or by the user

Imagine a statement that says:

setup exception-name in protected-code with handler-code

When this is executed, the runtime system sets up things so that if the named exception appears (is *raised/thrown*) when executing *protected-code*, control is transferred to the handling code.

If nothing happens, execution proceeds with the next statement.

Syntax varies:

Java: try protected-code catch (exception) handler-code ML: try protected-code with exception -> handler-code

```
Exceptions in C: setjmp/longjmp
```

```
#include <setjmp.h>
jmp_buf myexc;
. . .
if (setjmp(myexc)) {
 // nonzero: exception was thrown, handle here
} else {
 // protected code where exception is caught
}
. . .
// somewhere else, usually in another function
longjmp(myexc, nonzero); // throws myexc with nonzero param
Can handle in a switch, to distinguish values from long jmp:
switch (setjmp(myexc)) {
case 0: /* normal code that may throw myexc */ break;
case val1: ...; break;
case val2: ...; break;
default: /* any other value */
}
```