# Programming language design and analysis

Lambda Calculus

Marius Minea

23 September 2013

Course references: Principles of Programming Languages, Uday Reddy, Univ. of Birmingham

Program Analysis and Understanding, Jeff Foster, Univ. of Maryland

## Background. Church-Turing thesis

Lambda calculus: developed in 1930's by Alonzo Church initially typed, then untyped fragment

Formalizing *computability*:

Lambda calculus [Church] Turing machines [1936–37] general recursive functions [Church, Kleene, Rosser]

Church-Turing thesis: these three computational processes are equivalent, i.e., the class of *computable* functions (by recursion or  $\lambda$ -calculus) are precisely the *effectively calculable* ones (by a Turing machine).

 $\Rightarrow$  Lambda calculus is a *universal model of computation*.

## Syntax

e ::= x	variable
$\lambda x.e$	function abstraction (definition)
$e_1 e_2$	function application

Basic ideas:

functions are values(no split b/w functions and args/results)functions need not be named $(\lambda$ -abstractions suffice)functions are all one needs(can express numbers, if-then, etc.)

Syntax conventions:

the scope of the abstraction . extends as far right as possible application is left-associative,  $e_1 e_2 e_3$  means  $(e_1 e_2) e_3$ 

The function abstraction  $\lambda x.e$  binds the occurrence of x in e intuitively: inside e, x is the argument; outside e it has no meaning

$$egin{aligned} \mathsf{FV}(x) &= \{x\} \ \mathsf{FV}(\lambda x.e) &= \mathsf{FV}(e) \setminus \{x\} \ \mathsf{FV}(e_1 \ e_2) &= \mathsf{FV}(e_1) \cup \mathsf{FV}(e_2) \end{aligned}$$

A term is *closed* if it has no free variables.

A variable that is not free is called *bound*.

#### Substitutions

To correctly compute with  $\lambda$  expressions, we need to define substitutions.

Denote by  $e_1[x \rightarrow e_2]$  the substitution of x by  $e_2$  in  $e_1$ (various other notations:  $e_1[x := e_2], e_1[x/e_2], e_1[e_2/x]$ )

Define:  $y[x \to e] = \begin{cases} e & \text{if } y \text{ is the same as } x \\ y & \text{if } y \text{ is different from } x \end{cases}$   $(\lambda y.e_1)[x \to e_2] = \\
\begin{cases} \lambda y.e_1 & \text{if } y \text{ is the same as } x \\ \lambda y.(e_1[x \to e_2]) & \text{if } y \text{ is different from } x \text{ and } y \notin FV(e_2) \end{cases}$   $(\text{otherwise occurrences of } y \text{ in } e_2 \text{ would be captured by } \lambda y.e_1)$   $(e_1 e_2)[x \to e] = (e_1[x \to e])(e_2[x \to e])$ 

### Capture-avoiding substitution

 $\alpha$ -conversion (bound variables can be renamed)

$$\lambda x.e = \lambda y.(e[x 
ightarrow y] ext{ if } y 
ot\in FV(e)$$

Then we can substitute  $\lambda y.e_1[x \to e_2]$  also when  $y \in FV(e_2)$ : first rename y to some fresh variable z:  $\lambda y.e_1 = \lambda z.e_1[y \to z]$ then substitute x with  $e_1$ :  $\lambda z.e_1[y \to z][x \to e_1]$ 

#### Reductions: Computing with lambda expressions

 $\beta$ -conversion (or  $\beta$ -reduction)

$$(\lambda x.e_1) e_2 = e_1[x \rightarrow e_2]$$

is the *evaluation* step for lambda expressions. We write:

$$(\lambda x.e_1) \ e_2 \longrightarrow_{\beta} e_1[x \rightarrow e_2]$$

 $\eta$ -conversion: simplifies application + abstraction

$$\lambda x.e \ x = e$$
 if  $x \notin FV(e)$ 

## Equivalence and Confluence

Two terms are *equivalent* if one can be converted to each other by the three conversion rules.

A  $\lambda$ -expressions may have several  $\beta$ -reducible subexpressions (*redexes*)  $\Rightarrow$  which one to apply first ?

*Church-Rosser theorem*: if a term reduces to two different terms, these in turn reduce to a common term (diamond property).

$$e \longrightarrow^*_\beta e_1 \wedge e \longrightarrow^*_\beta e_2 \Rightarrow \ \exists e' \ . \ e_1 \longrightarrow^*_\beta e' \wedge e_2 \longrightarrow^*_\beta e'$$

### Reduction strategies

#### normal-order reduction

leftmost outermost redex first also reduces under  $\lambda$  if any reduction terminates, then normal order terminates

call-by-name leftmost outermost redex first does not reduce under  $\lambda$ 

applicative order reduction (call by value) only reduce  $(\lambda x.e_1) e_2$  when argument  $e_2$  is value

In programming language practice: *lazy* evaluation: only reduce argument if needed, but do not duplicate expressions (evaluate at most once)