Programming language design and analysis

Lambda Calculus

Marius Minea

5 October 2015

Course references:
Principles of Programming Languages, Uday Reddy, Univ. of Birmingham
Program Analysis and Understanding, Jeff Foster, Univ. of Maryland

Background. Church-Turing thesis

Lambda calculus: developed in 1930's by Alonzo Church
initially typed, then untyped fragment

Formalizing *computability*:
Lambda calculus [Church]
Turing machines [1936–37]
general recursive functions [Church, Kleene, Rosser]

These three computational processes are equivalent,
i.e., the class of *computable* functions (by recursion or λ-calculus)
are precisely the *effectively calculable* ones (by a Turing machine).

Church-Turing thesis: these models express what is effectively computable.

$\Rightarrow$ Lambda calculus is a *universal model of computation*.

Syntax

We've seen:

computation is done by functions

in general, both function and arguments can be expressions

$e ::= x$	variable
$\mid \lambda x.e$	function abstraction (definition)
$\mid e_1\ e_2$	function application

Basic ideas:

functions are values	(no split b/w functions and args/results)
functions need not be named	(λ-abstractions suffice)
functions are all one needs	(can express numbers, if-then, etc.)

Syntax conventions:

the scope of the abstraction . extends as far right as possible

application is left-associative, $e_1\ e_2\ e_3$ means $(e_1\ e_2)\ e_3$

Free and bound variables

The function abstraction $\lambda x.e$ *binds* the occurrence of x in e

intuitively: inside e, x is the argument; outside e it has no meaning

Set of free variables of an expression:

$FV(x) = \{x\}$
$FV(\lambda x.e) = FV(e) \setminus \{x\}$
$FV(e_1\ e_2) = FV(e_1) \cup FV(e_2)$

A term is *closed* if it has no free variables.

A variable that is not free is called *bound*.

Substitutions

Calling a function means using the (actual) argument in place of the (formal) parameter.

In most languages, this means *evaluating* the argument expressions.

In lambda calculus, we will just do syntactic substitution.

Substitutions

To correctly compute with λ expressions, we need to define substitutions.

Denote by $e_1[x \to e_2]$ the substitution of x by e_2 in e_1
(various other notations: $e_1[x := e_2], e_1[x/e_2], e_1[e_2/x]$)

Define:

$$y[x \to e] = \begin{cases} e & \text{if } y \text{ is the same as } x \\ y & \text{if } y \text{ is different from } x \end{cases}$$

$$(\lambda y.e_1)[x \to e_2] =$$

$$\begin{cases} \lambda y.e_1 & \text{if } y \text{ is the same as } x \\ \lambda y.(e_1[x \to e_2]) & \text{if } y \text{ is different from } x \text{ and } y \notin FV(e_2) \end{cases}$$

(otherwise occurrences of y in e_2 would be captured by $\lambda y.e_1$)

$$(e_1\ e_2)[x \to e] = (e_1[x \to e])(e_2[x \to e])$$

Capture-avoiding substitution

α-conversion (bound variables can be renamed)

$\lambda x.e = \lambda y.(e[x \to y]$ if $y \notin FV(e)$

Then we can substitute $\lambda y.e_1[x \to e_2]$ also when $y \in FV(e_2)$:

first rename y to some fresh variable z: $\quad \lambda y.e_1 = \lambda z.e_1[y \to z]$

then substitute x with e_1: $\quad \lambda z.e_1[y \to z][x \to e_2]$

Reductions: Computing with lambda expressions

β-conversion (or β-reduction)

$$(\lambda x.e_1)\ e_2 = e_1[x \to e_2]$$

is the *evaluation* step for lambda expressions. We write:

$$(\lambda x.e_1)\ e_2 \longrightarrow_\beta e_1[x \to e_2]$$

η-conversion: simplifies application + abstraction

$\lambda x.e\ x = e \qquad\qquad$ if $x \notin FV(e)$

Equivalence and Confluence

Two terms are *equivalent* if one can be converted to each other by the three conversion rules.

A λ-expressions may have several β-reducible subexpressions (*redexes*)
$\Rightarrow$ which one to apply first ?

Church-Rosser theorem: if a term reduces to two different terms, these in turn reduce to a common term (diamond property).

$$e \longrightarrow_\beta^* e_1 \wedge e \longrightarrow_\beta^* e_2 \Rightarrow \exists e' \, . \, e_1 \longrightarrow_\beta^* e' \wedge e_2 \longrightarrow_\beta^* e'$$

Precedence, associativity and evaluation order

Precedence

Precedence, associativity and evaluation order

Precedence

allows disambiguating expressions, without need for excess paranthesees

Precedence, associativity and evaluation order

Precedence
allows disambiguating expressions, without need for excess paranthesees

Associativity

Precedence, associativity and evaluation order

Precedence

allows disambiguating expressions, without need for excess paranthesees

Associativity

how to evaluate operators with same precedence

left-associative, right-associative

operators may be associative in math, but not in prog.lang.

Precedence, associativity and evaluation order

Precedence

allows disambiguating expressions, without need for excess paranthesees

Associativity

how to evaluate operators with same precedence

left-associative, right-associative

operators may be associative in math, but not in prog.lang.

Evaluation order

Precedence, associativity and evaluation order

Precedence
allows disambiguating expressions, without need for excess paranthesees

Associativity
how to evaluate operators with same precedence
left-associative, right-associative
operators may be associative in math, but not in prog.lang.

Evaluation order
of operands for a given operator
specified or unspecified

Reduction strategies

normal-order reduction

leftmost outermost redex first

also reduces under λ

if any reduction terminates, then normal order terminates

call-by-name

leftmost outermost redex first

does not reduce under λ

applicative order reduction (call by value)

only reduce $(\lambda x.e_1)\ e_2$ when argument e_2 is value

In programming language practice: *lazy* evaluation: only reduce argument if needed, but do not duplicate expressions (evaluate at most once)